arXiv:1607.00571v1 [math.NA] 3 Jul 2016

Trimmed Serendipity Finite Element Differential Forms

Andrew Gillette* and Tyler Kloefkorn†

July 5, 2016

Abstract

We introduce the family of trimmed serendipity finite element differential form spaces, defined on cubical meshes in any number of dimensions, for any polynomial degree, and for any form order. The relation between the trimmed serendipity family and the (non-trimmed) serendipity family developed by Arnold and Awanou [*Math. Comp. 83(288) 2014*] is analogous to the relation between the trimmed and (non-trimmed) polynomial finite element differential form families on simplicial meshes from finite element exterior calculus. We provide degrees of freedom in the general setting and prove that they are unisolvent for the trimmed serendipity spaces in all cases of immediate relevance to application: spatial dimension n up to 4, any differential form order k, and polynomial order r up to 10. In these cases, the sequence of trimmed serendipity spaces with a fixed polynomial order r provides an explicit example of a system described by Christiansen and Gillette [*ESAIM:M2AN 50(3) 2016*], namely, a minimal compatible finite element system on n-dimensional cubes containing order $r-1$ polynomial differential forms.

1 Introduction

The 'Periodic Table of the Finite Elements' [6] identifies four families of polynomial differential form spaces: $\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k$, $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k$, $\mathcal{Q}_r^-\Lambda^k$ and $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$. The families $\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k$ and $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k$ define finite element spaces on n-simplices while $\mathcal{Q}_r^-\Lambda^k$ and $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$ define finite element spaces on n-dimensional cubes. In this paper, we present a fifth family, $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ that is closely related to but distinct from the serendipity family $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$ [3]. In particular, the relationships between the families $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ and $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$ are analogous to the relationships between $\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k$ and $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k$.

We first define the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces as

$$\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k := \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k + \kappa\mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1},$$

where κ denotes the Koszul operator. The $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces nest in between serendipity spaces via the inclusions:

$$\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}^-\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^k.$$

The exterior derivative d makes $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^\bullet$ into a cochain complex and the associated sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^0 \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^{n-1} \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^n \to 0$$

is exact. The spaces in the above sequence have minimal dimension in the following sense: the sequence is a minimal compatible finite element system on n-cubes that contains $\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$ for each k. All the results just mentioned, as well as others identified in this paper, hold true if $\mathcal{P}$ is put

*Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721. *agillette@math.arizona.edu*
†Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721. *tkloefkorn@math.arizona.edu*



Figure 1: Element diagrams for $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^k(\square_2)$ and $\mathcal{S}_3^-\Lambda^k(\square_2)$, shown as subcomplexes of the deRham complex for $\square_2$. Each dot or arrow in the diagrams indicates a degree of freedom associated to that piece of the geometry (vertex, edge, or interior).

in place of $\mathcal{S}$ and the spaces are taken over n-simplices instead of n-cubes. Since $\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces have been called trimmed polynomial spaces, we refer to the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces as trimmed serendipity spaces.

The success of finite element exterior calculus [4, 5] in describing the famous elements of Nédélec [15, 16], Raviart-Thomas [17], and Brezzi-Douglas-Marini [8], as well as the more recently defined elements of Arnold and Awanou [2, 3] has inspired a host of elements bearing close relation to the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ elements described in this work. These include the AC_r elements on squares of Arbogast and Correa [1], the $S_{2,k}$ elements on squares and cubes of Cockburn and Fu [14], and the virtual element serendipity spaces $VEMS^f_{r,r,r-1}$ of Beirão da Veiga, Brezzi, Marini, and Russo [7]. A unique feature of our approach is the extensive use of tools from exterior calculus, allowing generalization to arbitrary dimension n and instant coordination with other results from finite element exterior calculus.

Christiansen and Gillette [11] raised the question of a minimal compatible finite element system on n-cubes containing $\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$ and computed the number of degrees of freedom that such a system would need to associate to the interior of an n-cube, $\square_n$. While we do not use the harmonic extension approach of [11] to construct the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces, we do recover the expected degree of freedom counts associated to each piece of the cubical geometry. We state the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 4$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $1 \leq r \leq 7$ in Table 1. For $n \geq 4$, the spaces $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ with $0 < k < n$ are completely new to the literature, to the best of our knowledge.

The $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ elements of most immediate relevance to applications are those for small values of n and r. We now examine some of these cases in greater detail, using a mix of exterior calculus

and vector calculus notation. Formal definitions of the notation and generalized formulae using exclusively exterior calculus notation are given in Sections 2-4.

The spaces $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_2)$. The element diagrams in Figure 1 indicate the association of degrees of freedom to portions of the square geometry for $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^k(\square_2)$ and $\mathcal{S}_3^-\Lambda^k(\square_2)$. The space $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^0(\square_2)$ is the same as the serendipity space $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0(\square_2)$, and the pair $\left(\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1(\square_2), \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^2(\square_2)\right)$ is similar, if not identical, to the Arbogast-Correa pair AC_{r-1} [1], with tangential instead of normal degrees of freedom on edges for $k=1$. The degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1(\square_2)$ are

$$u \longmapsto \int_e u\cdot\vec{t}\,p, \qquad p\in\mathcal{P}_{r-1}(e), \quad e \text{ an edge of } \square_2 \text{ with unit tangent } \vec{t},$$

$$u \longmapsto \int_{\square_2} u\cdot\vec{p}, \qquad \vec{p}\in[\mathcal{P}_{r-3}(\square_2)]^2 \oplus \operatorname{grad}\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^0(\square_2).$$

The notation $\operatorname{grad}\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^0(\square_2)$ above should be interpreted as the vector proxies for the exterior derivative applied to homogenous polynomials of degree $r-1$ in two variables. Observe that if we exclude only the degrees of freedom associated to $\operatorname{grad}\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^0(\square_2)$, we are left with the degrees of freedom for the regular serendipity space $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^1(\square_2)$.

The spaces $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_3)$. Moving to cubes, element diagrams for the $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^k(\square_3)$ spaces are shown in Figure 2. In these figures, degrees of freedom associated to vertices, edges, or faces of the cube are shown on the front face only while the number of degrees of freedom associated to the interior of the cube are indicated by $+X$. Looking only at the front face degrees of freedom in Figure 2 for $k=0,1,2$, we see exactly the same sequence as shown in the top row of Figure 1, reflecting the fact that the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ spaces have the trace property. We also observe that $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^0(\square_3)=\mathcal{S}_2\Lambda^0(\square_3)$ and $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^3(\square_3)=\mathcal{S}_1\Lambda^3(\square_3)$. Further, the lowest order spaces also coincide with the tensor product differential form spaces, i.e. $\mathcal{S}_1^-\Lambda^k(\square_3)=\mathcal{Q}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_3)$ for $k=0,1,2,3$.

The spaces $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1(\square_3)$ and $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^2(\square_3)$ are of potentially great interest to the computational electromagnetics community as they can be used in $H(\mathrm{curl})$- and $H(\mathrm{div})$-conforming methods on meshes of affinely-mapped cubes. Their dimensions satisfy $\dim\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1(\square_3) < \dim\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^1(\square_3)$ and $\dim\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^2(\square_3) < \dim\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^2(\square_3)$ as well as $\dim\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1(\square_3) \le \dim\mathcal{Q}_r^-\Lambda^1(\square_3)$ and $\dim\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^2(\square_3) < \dim\mathcal{Q}_r^-\Lambda^2(\square_3)$, with equality only in the the case $r=1$. Hence, a significant savings in degrees of freedom should be possible, compared to tensor product and even serendipity methods. We emphasize that the spaces $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_3)$ for $k=1,2$ and $r>1$ are, to the best of our knowledge, new to the literature, with the possible exception of the family $S_{2,r}$ recently defined by Cockburn and Fu [14].

The degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1(\square_3)$ are

$$u \longmapsto \int_e u\cdot\vec{t}\,p, \qquad p\in\mathcal{P}_{r-1}(e), \quad e \text{ an edge of } \square_3 \text{ with unit tangent } \vec{t},$$

$$u \longmapsto \int_f (u\times\hat{n})\cdot\vec{p}, \qquad \vec{p}\in[\mathcal{P}_{r-3}(f)]^2 \oplus \operatorname{grad}\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^0(f),$$

$$f \text{ a face of } \square_3 \text{ with unit normal } \hat{n},$$

$$u \longmapsto \int_{\square_3} u\cdot\vec{p}, \qquad \vec{p}\in[\mathcal{P}_{r-5}(\square_3)]^3 \oplus \operatorname{curl}\mathcal{H}_{r-3}\Lambda^1(\square_3).$$

As in the $n=2$ case, we observe that removing the degrees of freedom associated to $\operatorname{grad}\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^0(f)$ and $\operatorname{curl}\mathcal{H}_{r-3}\Lambda^1(\square_3)$ leaves only the degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^1(\square_3)$.



$\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^0(\square_3)$ dim $= 20$ | $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^1(\square_3)$ dim $= 36$ | $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^2(\square_3)$ dim $= 21$ | $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^3(\square_3)$ dim $= 4$

Figure 2: $\mathcal{S}_2^-\Lambda^k(\square_2)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Degrees of freedom on a representative face are shown, along with a count of $+X$ for the number of degrees of freedom associated to the interior of the cube.

The degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^2(\square_3)$ are

$$u \longmapsto \int_f u \cdot \hat{n}\, p, \qquad p \in \mathcal{P}_{r-1}(f),\ f \text{ a face of } \square_3 \text{ with unit normal } \hat{n},$$

$$u \longmapsto \int_{\square_3} u \cdot p, \qquad \vec{p} \in [\mathcal{P}_{r-3}(\square_3)]^3 \oplus \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^0(\square_3).$$

Again, excluding the degrees of freedom associated to $\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^0(\square_3)$, we are left with the degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^2(\square_3)$.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review relevant background and notation from finite element exterior calculus. In Section 3, we prove various properties of the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces, including a formula to compute their dimension. In Section 4, we state a set of degrees of freedom and prove they are unisolvent for $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square^n)$ for $1 \le n \le 4$, $1 \le r \le 10$, and $0 \le k \le n$. We explain how unisolvence for larger values of n and r can easily be checked by a simple computation. We also explain and establish minimality in the context of compatible finite element systems.

2 Background and notation

We use the same notation as Arnold and Awanou [3] and will now review the relevant definitions. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a multi-index and let σ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ consisting of k distinct elements $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)$ with $0 \le k \le n$. The *form monomial* $x^\alpha dx_\sigma$ is the differential k-form on $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$x^\alpha dx_\sigma := (x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n})\, dx_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{\sigma(k)}. \tag{2.1}$$

The *degree* of $x^\alpha dx_\sigma$ is $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. The space of differential k-forms with polynomial coefficients of homogeneous degree r is denoted $\mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A basis for this space is the set of form monomials such that $|\alpha| = r$ and $|\sigma| = k$. The exterior derivative d and Koszul operator κ are maps

$$d : \mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \kappa : \mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{H}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In coordinates, they are defined on form monomials by

$$d(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x_i} dx_i \right) \wedge dx_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{\sigma(k)}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\kappa(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left((-1)^{i+1} x^\alpha x_{\sigma(i)} \right) dx_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_{\sigma(i)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{\sigma(k)}. \tag{2.3}$$

The notation $\widehat{dx_{\sigma(i)}}$ indicates that the term is omitted from the wedge product. We will make frequent use of the *homotopy formula* in this context [4, Theorem 3.1], which is also called *Cartan's magic formula*:

$$(d\kappa + \kappa d)\omega = (r+k)\omega, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.4}$$

As shown in [4, equation (3.10)], it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus d\mathcal{H}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.5}$$

The space of polynomial differential k-forms of degree at most r is

$$\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r} \mathcal{H}_j\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.6}$$

The definitions of d and κ extend linearly over $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k$ in the natural fashion. The dimension of $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \binom{r+n}{r+k}\binom{r+k}{k}. \tag{2.7}$$

The "trimmed" space of polynomial differential k-forms of degree at most r is

$$\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.8}$$

The relation of the $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces to the well-known Nédélec [15, 16], Raviart-Thomas [17] and Brezzi-Douglas-Marini [8] elements on simplices is described in the work of Arnold, Falk and Winther [4, 5] and summarized in the Periodic Table of the Finite Elements poster [6].

To build the serendipity spaces on n-dimensional cubes, we need some additional definitions. Let σ^* denote the complement of σ, i.e. $\sigma^* := \{1, \ldots, n\} - \sigma$. The *linear degree* of $x^\alpha dx_\sigma$ is defined to be

$$\operatorname{ldeg}(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) := \#\{i \in \sigma^* \ : \ \alpha_i = 1\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Put differently, the linear degree of $x^\alpha dx_\sigma$ counts the number of entries in α equal to 1, excluding entries whose indices appear in σ. Note that if $k = 0$ then $\sigma = \varnothing$ and there is no 'exclusion' in the counting of linear degree. Likewise, if $k = n$ then $\sigma^* = \varnothing$ and $\operatorname{ldeg}(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) = 0$ for any α. The linear degree of the sum of two or more form monomials is defined as the minimum of the linear degrees of the summands.

The subset of $\mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that has linear degree at least ℓ is denoted

$$\mathcal{H}_{r,l}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \operatorname{ldeg}\omega \geq l \right\}. \tag{2.10}$$

A key building block for both the serendipity and trimmed serendipity spaces is

$$\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) := \sum_{l \geq 1} \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r+l-1,l}\Lambda^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.11}$$

We state two facts about $\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that will be useful in our development of the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces. In the proof of [3, Theorem 3.4], it is shown that

$$\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{P}_{r+1}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.12}$$

Also, for any $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\kappa d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{2.13}$$

which follows from the homotopy formula (2.4) and the fact that $\kappa\kappa = 0$.

The space of serendipity differential k-forms of order r is then given by

$$\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus d\mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.14}$$

The fact that this sum is direct is proven in [3]. Note that the second summand vanishes when $k = n$, since $\Lambda^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$ while the third summand vanishes when $k = 0$, since $\Lambda^{-1} = 0$ by definition. Given $x^\alpha dx_\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the degree property from [3, Theorem 3.2] ensures that

$$\deg(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) \leq r + n - k - \delta_{k0} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) - \operatorname{ldeg}(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) \leq r + 1 - \delta_{k0}. \tag{2.15}$$

The scalar-valued elements of the serendipity family, $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, were characterized via classical finite element degrees of freedom and shape functions in [2]. The relation of the $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ elements to the Brezzi-Douglas-Marini [8] elements on rectangles is described in [3] and by the Periodic Table of the Finite Elements poster [6]. The $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ elements with $0 < k < n$ and $n \geq 3$ were new to the literature when they appeared in [3].

The serendipity spaces satisfy an inclusion property [3, Theorem 3.4]:

$$\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{2.16}$$

and a subcomplex property [3, Theorem 3.3]:

$$d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.17}$$

Accordingly, the $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces can be collected into a cochain complex with decreasing r, which we denote by $\mathcal{S}_{r-\bullet}\Lambda^\bullet$. The resulting sequence, as well as those for $\mathcal{P}_{r-\bullet}\Lambda^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^\bullet$, augmented by $\mathbb{R}$ in front of the first term, are all exact. Written out, these are

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0 \to \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^1 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{S}_{r-n+1}\Lambda^{n-1} \to \mathcal{S}_{r-n}\Lambda^n \to 0 \tag{2.18}$$

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^0 \to \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^1 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{P}_{r-n+1}\Lambda^{n-1} \to \mathcal{P}_{r-n}\Lambda^n \to 0 \tag{2.19}$$

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^0 \to \mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^1 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^{n-1} \to \mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^n \to 0 \tag{2.20}$$

All the above sequences can serve as finite element subcomplexes of the deRham complex for a domain. Such subcomplexes help guide the selection of pairs of spaces for mixed finite element methods that have guaranteed stability and convergence properties.

3 The $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces

We define the trimmed serendipity spaces for $r \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) + \kappa\mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{3.1}$$

The trimmed serendipity spaces share many analogues with the trimmed polynomial spaces, as we now establish. Throughout, we fix the top dimension to be $n \geq 1$ and omit the notation $(\mathbb{R}^n)$, except when it is needed for clarity.

Theorem 3.1 (Inclusion property)**.** *Let $n, r \geq 1$, and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}^-_{r+1}\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^k. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. The first inclusion is immediate from (3.1). For the second inclusion, the inclusion property (2.16) implies that $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^k$. Hence we only need to show that $\kappa\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^{k+1} \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^k$. Decomposing $\kappa\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^{k+1}$ by (2.14), we have $\kappa\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^{k+1} \subset \mathcal{P}_{r+1}\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^k$, $\kappa\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k+1} = 0$, and, by (2.13), $\kappa d\mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^k = \mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^k$, thus completing the proof. □

Theorem 3.2 (Subcomplex property)**.** *Let $n, r \geq 1$, and $0 < k \leq n$. Then*

$$d\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^{k+1}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Using (3.2) and (2.17), we have $d\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k \subset d\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} \subset \mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^{k+1}$. □

Theorem 3.3 (Direct sum decomposition)**.** *Let $n, r \geq 1$, and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then $\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k$, as defined by (3.1), can also by written as the direct sum*

$$\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k = \mathcal{P}^-_r\Lambda^k \oplus \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

Further, any element $\omega \in \mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k$ can be written as $\omega = d\alpha + \kappa\beta$ where $d\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$ and $\kappa\beta \in \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$.

Proof. First expand (3.1) via (2.14). Since $\kappa^2 = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k = (\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k \oplus \mathcal{J}_{r-1}\Lambda^k \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}) + (\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} \oplus \kappa d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k).$$

By (2.13), we can replace $\kappa d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$ by $\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$. Recall from (2.12) that $\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{P}_{r+1}\Lambda^k + \mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^k$. Since any element in $\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$ can be written as $\kappa\omega$, we can sharpen this inclusion to $\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k \subset \kappa\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^{k+1} + \mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^k$. Using this inclusion for the $\mathcal{J}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$ term and re-ordering, we now have

$$\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k = \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k + \kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} + \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k + d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}.$$

The first two terms summands give $\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k + \kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} = \mathcal{P}^-_r\Lambda^k$, which establishes (3.4) as a summation formula.

We now show that (3.4) is direct. Write $\mathcal{P}^-_r\Lambda^k$ as $\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} + d\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^{k-1}$. The images of κ and d are disjoint by the homotopy formula, meaning $\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} + \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$ is disjoint from $d\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k + d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}$. Now, elements of $\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}$ are of degree at most r while elements of $\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$ are of degree at least $r+1$. Similarly, elements of $d\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k$ are of degree at most $r-1$ while elements of $d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}$ are of degree at least r. Hence both pairs are direct sums and (3.4) is established.

For the last statement, write $\mathcal{P}^-_r\Lambda^k = d\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^{k-1} \oplus \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}$. This sum is seen to be direct by consideration of the polynomial degrees of the summands. We can thus write $\omega = d\alpha + \kappa\beta$ such that $d\alpha \in d\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^{k-1} \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}$ and $\kappa\beta \in \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} \oplus \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$. We have $d\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^{k-1} \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$ and $\kappa\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} \oplus \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k \oplus \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$, as seen from (2.14). □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n, r \geq 1$.*

i. $\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^0 = \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0$

ii. $\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^n = \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^n$

iii. $\mathcal{S}^-_r\Lambda^k + d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1} = \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$.

Proof. For (i), note that $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^0 = \kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-2}\Lambda^1 \oplus \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^1$ by (2.5) and (2.6). Also, by (2.13), $\kappa d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^0 = \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^0$. We decompose $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ according to (3.1) and $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$ according to (2.14), giving

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^0 &= \kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-2}\Lambda^1 + \mathcal{J}_{r-1}\Lambda^0 + \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r-1}\Lambda^1 + \kappa d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^0\\ &= \mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^0 + \mathcal{J}_{r-1}\Lambda^0 + \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^0.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.12), $\mathcal{J}_{r-1}\Lambda^0 \subset \mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^0 + \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^0$ and so $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^0 + \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^0 = \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0$. Part (ii) is an immediate consequence of (3.1), since there are no $(n+1)$-forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

For (iii), we have $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k + d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$ by (3.2) and $d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$ by the subcomplex property (2.17). For the reverse containment, decompose the spaces as

$$\begin{aligned}d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1} &= d\mathcal{P}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1} + d\mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}\\ \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k &= \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k + \mathcal{J}_{r-1}\Lambda^k + d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1} + \kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1} + \kappa d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k = d\mathcal{P}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1} \oplus \kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}$ by (2.5) and (2.6), $\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k = \kappa d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$ by (2.13), and $d\mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}$ appears as a summand for $d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}$. Thus, by (2.14), $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k \subset \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k + d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}$. □

Theorem 3.5 (Exactness)**.** *Let $n, r \geq 1$. The sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^0 \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^{n-1} \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^n \to 0$$

is exact.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, part (i), we can rewrite the beginning of the sequence as

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0 \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^1 \to \cdots$$

The sequence is exact at $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0$ since the incoming and outgoing maps at $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^0$ are the same as those in (2.18), which is exact. For $k \geq 1$, we will show that

$$\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^{k-1} \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k \to \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^{k+1}$$

is exact at $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ directly.

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ and assume $d\omega = 0$. Using (3.4), write $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i$ where

$$\omega_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{r-1}^-\Lambda^k, \qquad \omega_2 \in \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k, \qquad \omega_3 \in d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}.$$

Thus $d(\omega_3) = 0$ and $d(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = 0$. Since ω_1 has maximum polynomial degree r and ω_2 has minimum polynomial degree $r+1$, we see that $d(\omega_1) = d(\omega_2) = 0$.

Recall from (2.20) that $\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^\bullet$ is exact. Thus, there exits $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{r-1}^-\Lambda^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^{k-1}$ such that $d(\mu_1) = \omega_1$ (in particular, $\kappa(\omega_1)$ with an appropriate coefficient suffices). Since $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k$, we can write $\omega_2 = \kappa\mu_2$ for some polynomial $k+1$-form μ_2. By hypothesis, $d(\kappa\mu_2) = d(\omega_2) = 0$, but d is injective on the range of κ. Therefore, $\kappa\mu_2 = \omega_2 = 0$. Also, since $\omega_3 \in d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}$, we can write $\omega_3 = d\mu_3$ where $\mu_3 \in \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^{k-1}$. Setting $\mu := \mu_1 + \mu_3 \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^{k-1}$, we have $d\mu = \omega$. □

The $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces also have a trace property analogous to the $\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$ spaces. Recall that the *trace* of a differential k-form on a codimension 1 hyperplane $f \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the pullback of the form via the inclusion map $f \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $x^\alpha dx_\sigma$ be a form monomial as in (2.1) and let f be the hyperplane defined by $x_i = c$ for some fixed $1 \leq i \leq n$ and constant c. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}_f(x^\alpha dx_\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \in \sigma,\\ (x^\alpha|_{x_i=c})\, dx_\sigma, & i \notin \sigma.\end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.6 (Trace property)**.** *Let $n, r \geq 1$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ and let f be a hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^n$ obtained by fixing one coordinate. Then*

$$\operatorname{tr}_f \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f) \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [3, Theorem 3.5] closely to derive the result. For a fixed constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, set $f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ x_1 = c\}$. Using (3.4), we need to show that the traces of $\mathcal{P}_{r-1}^- \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $d\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ lie in

$$\mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f) = \mathcal{S}_{r-1} \Lambda^k(f) + \kappa \mathcal{S}_{r-1} \Lambda^{k+1}(f) = \mathcal{P}_{r-1}^- \Lambda^k(f) \oplus \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(f) \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(f).$$

By [4, Section 3.6], $\operatorname{tr}_f \mathcal{P}_{r-1}^- \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{P}_{r-1}^- \Lambda^k(f)$ and by [3, Theorem 3.5],

$$\operatorname{tr}_f d\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = d \operatorname{tr}_f \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset d\mathcal{S}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_{r-1} \Lambda^k(f).$$

It remains to show that $\operatorname{tr}_f \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$. Let $m = x^\alpha dx_\sigma$ be a $(k+1)$-form monomial on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\deg m \geq r$ and $\deg m - \operatorname{ldeg} m \leq r-1$. By [3, Proposition 3.1], κm is an element of $\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus it suffices to show that $\operatorname{tr}_f \kappa m \in \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$.

If $1 \notin \sigma$, then $\operatorname{tr}_f \kappa m \in \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$, again by the proof of [3, Theorem 3.5]. We now assume $1 \in \sigma$. Then

$$m = x^\alpha dx_\sigma = x_1^{\alpha_1} x^\beta dx_1 \wedge dx_\tau$$

with $\beta_1 = 0$, $\tau \subset \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$, and $\{1\} \cup \tau = \sigma$. We compute

$$p := \operatorname{tr}_f \kappa m = c^{\alpha_1 + 1} x^\beta dx_\tau.$$

If $\deg p < r$, then $p \in \mathcal{P}_r^- \Lambda^k(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$. If $\deg p > r$, then following the proof of [3, Theorem 3.5], ensures $p \in \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(f) + d\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$.

It remains to address the case $\deg p = r$. We will show that $\kappa dp, d\kappa p \in \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$. Observe that $\deg dp = r - 1$ and thus $\kappa dp \in \kappa \mathcal{P}_{r-1} \Lambda^{k+1}(f) \subset \mathcal{P}_r^- \Lambda^k(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$. Further, $\deg p \geq r$ and $\deg p - \operatorname{ldeg} p \leq \deg m - \operatorname{ldeg} m \leq r - 1$. Therefore, $\kappa p \in \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(f)$ by [3, Proposition 3.1] and we have $d\kappa p \in d\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^{k-1}(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(f)$. □

We now compute the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_r^- \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}_n)$ from the direct sum decomposition (3.4). The computation of $\dim \mathcal{S}_r \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in [3] does not rely on its direct sum decomposition (2.14) and, in particular, no formula for $\dim \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is provided. We now derive such a formula. We use $\dim X$ and $|X|$ interchangeably to denote the dimension of X as a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.7. *Fix $n \geq 1$. For $r \geq 1$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$\dim \mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \left[\sum_{d=k-i}^{\min\{n, \lfloor (r+i)/2 \rfloor + k - i\}} 2^{n-d} \binom{n}{d} \binom{r - d + 2k - i}{d} \binom{d}{k-i} - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r+i} \binom{n+j-1}{j} \binom{n}{k-i} \right) \right] \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$d\mathcal{S}_r \Lambda^k = d\mathcal{P}_r \Lambda^k \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k = d\kappa \mathcal{P}_{r-1} \Lambda^{k+1} \oplus d\mathcal{J}_r \Lambda^k.$$

Since d is injective on the range of κ, we have $|d\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}| = |\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}|$ and $|d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k| = |\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k|$. Now, recall from (2.18) that $\mathcal{S}_{r-\bullet}\Lambda^\bullet$ is exact. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k| &= |d\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k| + |d\mathcal{S}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}| \\ &= |\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}| + |\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k| + |\kappa\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k| + |\mathcal{J}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

By (2.6) and [4, Equation (3.14)], we have

$$|\kappa\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k| + |\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}| = \sum_{j=0}^{r} |\kappa\mathcal{H}_j\Lambda^k| + |\kappa\mathcal{H}_{j-1}\Lambda^{k+1}| = \sum_{j=0}^{r} \binom{n+j-1}{j}\binom{n}{k}.$$

Define $j_{r,k} := |\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)|$ and $f_{r,k} := j_{r,k} + j_{r+1,k-1}$ for ease of notation. Using (3.7) and the formula for $|\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)|$ given in [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,k} &= |\mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k| - \left(|\kappa\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda^k| + |\kappa\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^{k+1}|\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d=k}^{\min\{n,\lfloor r/2\rfloor+k\}} 2^{n-d}\binom{n}{d}\binom{r-d+2k}{d}\binom{d}{k}\right) - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{n+j-1}{j}\binom{n}{k}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We can write $j_{r,k}$ as the telescoping sum

$$j_{r,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i f_{r+i,k-i}. \tag{3.9}$$

Using (3.9) with (3.8), we produce the formula in (3.6). □

Theorem 3.8. *Fix $n, r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dim \mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) + \dim \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) + \dim \mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{3.10}$$

Further, each summand in (3.10) has a closed-form expression in terms of binomial coefficients depending only on n, k, and r.

Proof. Again, since d is injective on the range of κ, we have $|d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}| = |\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}|$. Using this with (3.4), we can write

$$|\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k| = |\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k| + |\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k| + |d\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}| = |\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k| + |\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k| + |\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}|$$

From [4, 5], we have

$$|\mathcal{P}_r^-\Lambda^k| = \binom{r+n}{r+k}\binom{r+k-1}{k}.$$

We have the requisite expressions for $|\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^k|$ and $|\mathcal{J}_r\Lambda^{k-1}|$ from Lemma 3.7. □

We use Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 3.7 to compute the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 4$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $1 \leq r \leq 7$ and report the results in Table 1.

	k	r=1	2	3	4	5	6	7
n=1	0	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
n=2	0	4	8	12	17	23	30	38
	1	4	10	17	26	37	50	65
	2	1	3	6	10	15	21	28
n=3	0	8	20	32	50	74	105	144
	1	12	36	66	111	173	255	360
	2	6	21	45	82	135	207	301
	3	1	4	10	20	35	56	84
n=4	0	16	48	80	136	216	328	480
	1	32	112	216	392	656	1036	1563
	2	24	96	216	422	746	1227	1910
	3	8	36	94	200	375	644	1036
	4	1	5	15	35	70	126	210

Table 1: Dimension of $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ for $1 \le n \le 4$, $0 \le k \le n$, and $1 \le r \le 7$, computed using Theorem 3.8.

4 Degrees of Freedom, Unisolvence, and Minimality

We now state and count a set of degrees of freedom associated to $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$. The degrees of freedom associated to a d-dimensional sub-face f of $\square_n$ are

$$u \longmapsto \int_f (\operatorname{tr}_f u) \wedge q, \quad q \in \mathcal{P}_{r-2(d-k)-1}\Lambda^{d-k}(f) \ \oplus \ d\mathcal{H}_{r-2(d-k)+1}\Lambda^{d-k-1}(f), \tag{4.1}$$

for any $k \le d \le \min\{n, \lfloor r/2 \rfloor + k\}$. Observe that the first summand of the indexing space is the indexing space for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k(f)$, reflecting the fact that $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k \supset \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$. The sum is direct since $d\mathcal{H}_{r-2(d-k)+1}\Lambda^{d-k-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_{r-2(d-k)}\Lambda^{d-k}$. By (2.7),

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_{r-2(d-k)-1}\Lambda^{d-k}(f) = \binom{r-d+2k-1}{r-d+k-1}\binom{r-d+k-1}{d-k}.$$

It is shown in [4, Theorem 3.3] that

$$\dim d\mathcal{H}_{r+1}\Lambda^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dim \kappa\mathcal{H}_r\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \binom{n+r}{n-k}\binom{r+k-1}{k-1},$$

and thus

$$\dim d\mathcal{H}_{r-2d+2k+1}\Lambda^{d-k-1}(f) = \binom{r-d+2k}{k}\binom{r-d+k-1}{d-k-1}.$$

There are $2^{n-d}\binom{n}{d}$ d-dimensional faces of $\square_n$ so the total number of degrees of freedom in (4.1) is

$$\sum_{d=k}^{\min\{n,\lfloor r/2\rfloor+k\}} 2^{n-d}\binom{n}{d}\left(\binom{r-d+2k-1}{r-d+k-1}\binom{r-d+k-1}{d-k} + \binom{r-d+2k}{k}\binom{r-d+k-1}{d-k-1}\right) \tag{4.2}$$

To prove that the degrees of freedom in (4.1) are unisolvent for $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ we will need the following result about the subspace of $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ that has vanishing trace on $\partial\square_n$. For this, we will use the notation

$$\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda_0^k(\square_n) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n) \ : \ \operatorname{tr}_f \omega = 0 \text{ for every } (n-1)\text{-subface of } \square_n \right\}$$

The next result is the analogue of [3, Proposition 3.7] for the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ family.

Lemma 4.1. *If* $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda_0^k(\square_n)$ *and*

$$\int_{\square_n} \omega \wedge p = 0, \qquad p \in P_{r-2(n-k)-1}\Lambda^{n-k}(\square_n) \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_{\square_n} \omega \wedge dh = 0, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}_{r-2(n-k)+1}\Lambda^{n-k-1}(\square_n) \tag{4.4}$$

then $\omega \equiv 0$.

Proof. Since $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda_0^k$, we have $d\omega \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda_0^{k+1}$, by the subcomplex and trace properties of $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^\bullet$. By Stokes' theorem, we have

$$\int_{\square_n} d\omega \wedge \mu = \pm \int_{\square_n} \omega \wedge d\mu, \qquad \mu \in \Lambda^{n-k-1}(\square_n).$$

Suppose $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{r-2(n-k)+1}\Lambda^{n-k-1}$ so that

$$d\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{r-2(d-k)-1}\Lambda^{n-k} \ \oplus \ d\mathcal{H}_{r-2(n-k)+1}\Lambda^{n-k-1}.$$

By (4.3) and (4.4), $\int \omega \wedge d\mu$ vanishes for all such μ and by the above equation $\int d\omega \wedge \mu$ vanishes for all such μ as well. Thus, by [3, Proposition 3.7] with r and k replaced by $r-1$ and $k+1$, respectively, we have $d\omega = 0$.

By Theorem 3.3, we can write $\omega = d\alpha + \kappa\beta$ where $d\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$ and $\kappa\beta \in \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k$. Since $d\omega = 0$ and d is injective on the range of κ, we must have $\kappa\beta = 0$. Thus $\omega = d\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\Lambda^k$. Since (4.3) holds, we can apply [3, Proposition 3.7] with r replaced by $r-1$ to conclude that $\omega \equiv 0$. □

The obvious route to establishing unisolvence requires the dimension of the polynomial differential form space to match the number of degrees of freedom. We state this as a conjecture.

Conjecture 4.2. $\dim \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ is given by (4.2).

Recall from Theorem 3.10 that we have derived a closed-form expression for $\dim \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ that is straightforward to compute using binomial coefficients. Using Mathematica, we verified that Conjecture 4.2 is true for $n = 1, \ldots, 4$, $r = 1, \ldots, 10$, and $0 \le k \le n$. These values are reported in Table 1 (up to $r = 7$) and represent all cases of practical relevance to modern applications. Of course, the truth of the conjecture for any r, n and k can be confirmed similarly by this process and the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ discerned accordingly. We can establish unisolvence whenever the conjecture holds.

Theorem 4.3 (Unisolvence)**.** *Let $1 \le n \le 4$, $1 \le r \le 10$, and $0 \le k \le n$. If $u \in \mathcal{S}_r\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ and all the degrees of freedom in (4.1) vanish then $u \equiv 0$.*

Proof. We use induction on n. The base case $n = 1$ is trivial. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ such that all the degrees of freedom in (4.1) vanish. On a face f of dimension $n-1$, $\operatorname{tr}_f \omega \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(f)$ by the trace property (3.5). Since all the degrees of freedom for $\operatorname{tr}_f \omega$ vanish, $\operatorname{tr}_f \omega \equiv 0$ by the inductive hypothesis. Thus, $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda_0^k(\square_n)$. By Lemma 4.1, $\omega \equiv 0$. □

Note that the upper bounds of $n = 4$ and $r = 10$ have no bearing on the proof technique. The proof stands without modification for any n, r and k values, provided that Conjecture 4.2 is verified for all $n' \le n$, $r' \le r$, and $0 \le k \le n'$.

We now turn to the topic of the minimality of the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces. For this, we will employ the theory of *finite element systems*, developed and applied by Christiansen and collaborators in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. We will not redefine the full framework here as we are interested only in a very specific context, similar to the examples studied in [11].

We have shown that the $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k$ spaces have the subcomplex and trace properties in Theorems 3.2 and 3.6, respectively. These properties ensure that the collection of spaces $\{\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^0(\square_n), \dots, \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^n(\square_n)\}$ constitute a finite element system, for any fixed $n, r \ge 1$. Since the associated augmented co-chain complex for this sequence was shown to be exact in Theorem 3.5, the system is said to be *locally exact*. Whenever unisolvence holds, as in the cases allowed by Theorem 4.3 and the discussion following it, the system is said to *admit extensions* and be *compatible*. In such cases, we can apply the following result, specialized to the case of cubical meshes.

Lemma 4.4 ([11, Corollary 3.2])**.** *Suppose that A is a finite element system on $\square_n$ and that B is a compatible finite element system containing A. Suppose that*

$$\dim B_0^k(\square_n) = \dim A_0^k(\square_n) + \dim \mathrm{H}^{k+1}\left(A_0^\bullet(\square_n)\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Then B is minimal among compatible finite element systems containing A.

In (4.5), $\mathrm{H}^{k+1}\left(A_0^\bullet(\square_n)\right)$ denotes the $k+1$ homology group of the system $A_0^\bullet$; the subscript 0 again indicates vanishing trace on all $n-1$ dimensional subfaces. Note that the system $A_0^\bullet$ need not be locally exact and hence need not have vanishing homology. We can compute the dimension of the homology group by

$$\dim\left(\mathrm{H}^{k+1}\left(A_0^\bullet(\square_n)\right)\right) = \dim\left(\ker d : A_0^{k+1} \to A_0^{k+2}\right) - \dim(dA_0^k).$$

We apply the lemma as follows.

Theorem 4.5 (Minimality)**.** *Let $1 \le n \le 4$, $1 \le r \le 10$, and $0 \le k \le n$. Then $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^\bullet(\square_n)$ is a minimal compatible finite element system containing $\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^\bullet(\square_n)$.*

Proof. We set $A^k(\square_n) := \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ and $B^k = \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ and show that Lemma 4.4 applies. Note that $\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^\bullet(\square_n)$ is a non-compatible finite element system as it satisfies the subcomplex and trace properties but is not locally exact. We have already discussed why $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$ is a compatible finite element system and shown that $\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda^k(\square_n) \subset \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda^k(\square_n)$. By [11, Proposition 4.5],

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda_0^k(\square_n) = \dim \mathcal{P}_{r-2(n-k)-1}\Lambda^{n-k}(\square_n).$$

In the proof of [11, Lemma 4.13], it is shown that

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^k\left(\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda_0^\bullet(\square_n)\right) = \dim \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r+2k-2n-1}\Lambda^{n-k+1}(\square_n).$$

By [4, Theorem 3.3],

$$\dim \kappa\mathcal{H}_{r+2k-2n-1}\Lambda^{n-k+1}(\square_n) = \dim d\mathcal{H}_{r+2k-2n}\Lambda^{n-k}(\square_n).$$

Replacing r by $r-1$ and k by $k+1$, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^{k+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda_0^\bullet(\square_n)\right) = \dim d\mathcal{H}_{r+2k-2n+1}\Lambda^{n-k-1}(\square_n).$$

Applying Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda_0^k(\square_n) &= \#\text{ of degrees of freedom associated to the interior of } \square_n \\ &= \dim \mathcal{P}_{r-2(n-k)-1}\Lambda^{n-k}(\square_n) \;+\; d\mathcal{H}_{r-2(n-k)+1}\Lambda^{n-k-1}(\square_n) \\ &= \dim \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\Lambda_0^k(\square_n) + \dim \mathrm{H}^k\left(\mathcal{P}_r\Lambda_0^\bullet(\square_n)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Lemma 4.4 applies and minimality is proved. □

As with unisolvence, the upper bounds of $n = 4$ and $r = 10$ have no bearing on the proof technique used above. Again, the proof of minimality stands without modification for any n, r and k values, provided that Conjecture 4.2 is verified for all $n' \leq n$, $r' \leq r$, and $0 \leq k \leq n'$.

We close with some remarks about how to prove Conjecture 4.2 without resorting to direct computation. A clever application of binomial coefficient identities may be sufficient to prove the conjecture directly, although such a route was not obvious to us. Alternatively, we could count the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_r^-\Lambda_0^k(\square_n)$ by presenting a basis, however this approach presented a number of subtle possibilities that were not easy to resolve. Additionally, some results from the theory of finite element systems may provide a different means entirely of dealing with the question of unisolvence. We plan to resolve this issue in future work.

Acknowledgements. AG was supported in part by NSF Award 1522289.

References

[1] T. Arbogast and M. R. Correa. Two families of $H(\mathrm{div})$ mixed finite elements on quadrilaterals of minimal dimension. *ICES Report*, pages 15–09, 2015.

[2] D. Arnold and G. Awanou. The serendipity family of finite elements. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 11(3):337–344, 2011.

[3] D. Arnold and G. Awanou. Finite element differential forms on cubical meshes. *Mathematics of Computation*, 83(288):1551–1570, 2014.

[4] D. Arnold, R. Falk, and R. Winther. Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications. *Acta Numerica*, pages 1–155, 2006.

[5] D. Arnold, R. Falk, and R. Winther. Finite element exterior calculus: from Hodge theory to numerical stability. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 47(2):281–354, 2010.

[6] D. Arnold and A. Logg. Periodic table of the finite elements. femtable.org, 2014.

[7] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, L. Marini, and A. Russo. Serendipity face and edge VEM spaces. *arXiv:1606.01048*, 2016.

[8] F. Brezzi, J. Douglas Jr, and L. D. Marini. Two families of mixed finite elements for second order elliptic problems. *Numerische Mathematik*, 47(2):217–235, 1985.

[9] S. H. Christiansen. A construction of spaces of compatible differential forms on cellular complexes. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 18(5):739–757, 2008.

[10] S. H. Christiansen. Foundations of finite element methods for wave equations of Maxwell type. In *Applied Wave Mathematics*, pages 335–393. Springer, 2009.

[11] S. H. Christiansen and A. Gillette. Constructions of some minimal finite element systems. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 50(3):833–850, 2016.

[12] S. H. Christiansen, H. Z. Munthe-Kaas, and B. Owren. Topics in structure-preserving discretization. *Acta Numerica*, 20:1–119, 2011.

[13] S. H. Christiansen and F. Rapetti. On high order finite element spaces of differential forms. *Mathematics of Computation*, 85(298):517–548, 2016.

[14] B. Cockburn and G. Fu. A systematic construction of finite element commuting exact sequences. *arXiv:1605.00132*, 2016.

[15] J.-C. Nédélec. Mixed finite elements in $\mathbf{R}^3$. *Numerische Mathematik*, 35(3):315–341, 1980.

[16] J.-C. Nédélec. A new family of mixed finite elements in $\mathbf{R}^3$. *Numerische Mathematik*, 50(1):57–81, 1986.

[17] P.-A. Raviart and J.-M. Thomas. A mixed finite element method for 2nd order elliptic problems. In *Mathematical Aspects of Finite Element Methods*, pages 292–315. Springer, 1977.